

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,118	07/13/2001	Emer B. Natalio	1821P	8451
29141 SAWYER LA	7590 06/06/200 W GROUP LLP	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 5141			JEAN GILLES, JUDE	
PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		. 2143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/905,118	NATALIO, EMER B.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jude J. Jean-Gilles	2143		

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the corresponden	ce address
THE RE	PLY FILED <u>02 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
thi pla	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or s application, applicant must timely file one of the followaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No	wing replies: (1) an amendme tice of Appeal (with appeal fe	ent, affidavit, or other ee) in compliance wit	evidence, which h 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
tim	Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods: The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing</u> date		eply must be filed with	nin one of the following
6) [•	et forth in the final rejec	tion whichever is later. In
٥, ٥	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHI	mailing date of the fina	al rejection.
Evtension	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	* * *	SER 1 136(a) and the ar	onronriata avtancion foa
have bee under 37 set forth i may redu	on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for rep r than three months after the ma	mount of the fee. The apply originally set in the f	appropriate extension fee inal Office action; or (2) as
2. 🔯 Th da	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 May 2007</u> . A brief interest of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
<u>AMENĎ</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	• • •
(a)	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (se		tered because
	$igcup \Box$ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •		•
	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			olifying the issues for
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	• •	ally rejected claims.	
4. 🗍 T	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Ion-Compliant Amen	dment (PTOL-324).
	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6. 🗍 N	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a pn-allowable claim(s).		arate, timely filed am	nendment canceling the
7. Fo	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) bw the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- ne status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		☐ will be entered a	nd an explanation of
	aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to:			
CI	aim(s) rejected:			
	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
en	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing atered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a dowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under	r appeal and/or appe	llant fails to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	-		
	ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the applica	ation in condition for	allowance because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. 🔲 C	Other:			
•			ADAMOW.	(IEV
			SUPERVISORY PATA TECHNOLOGY CI	ENTER 2100

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants contend in the Reply dated 05/02/2007 that the combination of Richton and McNicol et al' s prior art reveal deficiencies in view of Claim 1. The arguments presented in this reply were already presented in the previous reply dated 08/01/2006 which led to the Final Office action. Applicants argue that the combination of Richton and McNicol et al's prior art results in a closed and private architecture and is deficient when all the combined elements are considered. Each prior art has specific hardware infrastructure requirements in order for users to benefit from its use, and that the present invention is an improvement over McNicol and Richton et al's, prior art by eliminating the plurality of distribution nodes and unique identifier. The Office diagrees. Nichol discloses a data distribution network for distributing data stores up-to-date or even real-time data in a central computer and disseminates the data through a plurality of data distribution nodes to a plurality of hand-held computers. The data distribution network distributes current or even real-time data to consumers and travelers directly to the location where the consumers and travelers are likely to require the data (see abstract). Applicants are kindly advised to refer back to the final Office action, and specifically the rejection of claim 1.